## List of Figures

## Figure

## Page

| Fig. 1.   | Location of the area   | 2  |
|-----------|--|----|
| Fig. 1.2  | Topographic representation with elevation ranges of the          |    |
|           | study area. The topography has a north-easterly slope.           | 4  |
| Fig. 1.3  | Drainage map of the study area.                                  | 5  |
| Fig. 4.1  | Exposure of conglomerate near Mawryngkneng                       | 31 |
| Fig. 4.2  | Current bedding in quartzites exposed on the bed of              |    |
|           | the river at Ksehpongdeng  | 31 |
| Fig. 4.3  | Overturned cross-bedding in quartzites at Thangshalai            | 33 |
| Fig. 4.4  | Convolute lamination in quartzites at Ksehpongdeng road          | 33 |
| Fig. 4.5a | Tangential current bedding in quartzite. Arrow indicates         |    |
|           | direction of current flow.                                       | 40 |
| Fig. 4.5b | Histogram of frequency percentage.                               | 40 |
| Fig. 4.5c | Rose diagram showing current beddings azimuth.                   |    |
|           | Arrow indicates direction of current flow.                       | 41 |
| Fig. 4.6a | Block diagram of conglomerate bed.                               | 41 |
| Fig. 4.6b | Histogram showing pebbles inclination with the conglomerate      |    |
|           | bed  | 43 |
| Fig. 4.6c | Rose diagram showing azimuthal distribution of long axes (X).    | 43 |
| Fig. 4.6d | Palaeocurrent map of the study area                              | 44 |
| Fig. 4.7  | Lithological layering $S_0$ in quartzites and dominant           |    |
|           | foliation S <sub>1</sub> in phyllites. Locality: Shormo          | 46 |
| Fig. 4.8  | Crinkle cleavage in phyllite at Pamlyer (Ksehpongdeng road).     |    |
|           | Axes of the crenulation are the $L_2$ .                          | 47 |
| Fig. 4.9  | Minor open fold in phyllite at Japshyndit hillock, Mawryngkneng. | 47 |
| Fig. 4.10 | Block diagram showing the development of various sets of         |    |
|           | joint in the Shillong Group and their relation to fold closures. | 49 |
| Fig. 4.11 | Location map of the joint diagram.                               | 52 |
| Fig. 4.12 | Strike histogram.  | 54 |
| Fig. 4.13 | Rose diagram.  | 55 |

| Fig. 4.14  | Diagram showing the dominant type of quartz vein in quartzite         | 56         |
|------------|---|------------|
| Fig. 4.15a | Rose showing trend of quartz vein                                     | 56         |
| Fig. 4.15b | Bar showing trend of quartz vein                                      | 56         |
| Fig. 4.16  | Open fold in quartzite  | 58         |
| Fig. 4.17  | Isoclinal F1 fold in phyllite   | 60         |
| Fig. 4.18  | $F_1$ fold refolded by open $F_2$ fold in phyllites of Shillong Group |            |
|            | at Puriang.   | 61         |
| Fig 4.20a  | Phyllite wsowing open fold at Japshyndit Hillock                      | 62         |
| Fig 4.20b  | Projection diagram of open fold                                       | 62         |
| Fig 4.19a  | Chevron fold developed in phyllite of Shillong Group,                 |            |
|            | Locality-Puriang  | 64         |
| Fig 4.19b  | Kinking in phyllite, Locality-Puriang                                 | 64         |
| Fig 4.21   | Contoured stereogram of 100 poles to foliation ( $S_o$ ) from         |            |
|            | Domain-I  | 67         |
| Fig 4.22   | Contoured stereogram of 100 poles to foliation ( $S_o$ ) from         |            |
|            | Domain-II   | 67         |
| Fig 4.23   | Contoured stereogram of 100 poles to foliation ( $S_o$ ) from         |            |
|            | Domain-III  | 68         |
| Fig 4.24   | Contoured stereogram of 100 poles to foliation ( $S_o$ ) from         |            |
|            | Domain-IV   | 68         |
| Fig-4.25   | Contoured stereogram of 60 poles to foliation $S_0$ from              |            |
|            | Domain V  | 69         |
| Fig-4.26   | Oriantation of pebbles.   | 7 <b>2</b> |
| Fig 4.27   | Plots of ratio of principal elongation (Flinn, 1962) for              |            |
|            | the pebbles of conglomerates  | 84         |
| Fig 4.28   | Polar graph (Hsu) of Lulung conglomerates                             | 87         |
| Fig 4.29   | Polar graph (Hsu) of Mawryngkneng conglomerates                       | 88         |

| Fig 5.1a  | Quartz grains showing sutured margin in quartzites               |     |
|-----------|--|-----|
|           | Locality- Puriang.   | 92  |
| Fig 5.1b  | Elongated grains of quartz arranged in linear order              |     |
|           | and parallel to foliation (S1) in quartz schist.                 | 93  |
| Fig.5.1c  | Well recrystallized quartz grains of quartzite of the            |     |
|           | Shillong Group Locality- Ksehpongdeng.                           | 96  |
| Fig.5.2a  | Development of phyllite structure with dominant                  |     |
|           | foliation (S1) in metapelite of the Shillong Group.              |     |
|           | Locality- Pamlyer.   | 100 |
| Fig.5.2b  | Crenulation cleavage developed in the metapelites                |     |
|           | of the Shillong Group, manifesting foliation (S <sub>2</sub> ).  |     |
|           | Locality- Ksehpongdeng road                                      | 100 |
| Fig. 5.2c | Quartz vein through phyllite, parallel to schistosity (S1).      |     |
|           | Locality- Shormo.  | 100 |
| Fig.5.3   | Plots of ACF values of metapelite on the triangular diagram      |     |
|           | after Kyfe et al.1958.   | 106 |
| Fig.5.4   | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -CaO-(FeO+MgO) triangular diagram |     |
|           | (after Rao et al., 1974) for the analyzed metapelites            | 106 |
| Fig.5.5   | Plots of analyzed metapelites in Niggli c vursus (al-alk)        |     |
|           | diagram (after Leake, 1964).                                     | 106 |
| Fig.5.6   | Plots of Niggli c against (al-alk) for the analyzed metapelites  |     |
|           | (after Evans andLeake, 1960). The approximate field of           |     |
|           | composition of Karroo Dolerites superimposed for comparison      | 107 |
| Fig.5.7   | 100mg - c - (al-alk) triangular diagram                          |     |
|           | (after Leake, 1964) for the analyzed metapelites.                | 107 |
| Fig.5.8   | Plots of Niggli mg against c (after Leake, 1964)                 |     |
|           | for the analysed metapelites.                                    | 107 |
| Fig.5.9a  | Recrystallized quartz grains making up pebble are                |     |
|           | set in an arenaceous quartz matrix. Locality- Lulung.            | 110 |
| Fig.5.9b  | Inclusion of muscovite needle into the fracture of               |     |
|           | quartz grain of pebble in conglomerate. Locality- Lulung.        | 111 |
| Fig.5.9c  | Pebbles of conglomerate set in a micaceous matrix.               |     |
|           | Locality- Mawryngkneng.  | 112 |

•

| Fig.6.1  | Magnetite in amphibolite retaining skeletal structure of pyroxene   |       |
|----------|---|-------|
|          | Locality- Ksehpongdeng  | 123   |
| Fig.6.2  | Hornblende plate showing sieve structure in amphibolite.  |       |
|          | Locality- Nongplit.   | 124   |
| Fig.6.3  | Plagioclase laths inside the hornblende showing   |       |
|          | ophitic texture in amphibolite. Locality- Shormo.   | 125   |
| Fig.6.4  | A(Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> +Fe <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -Na <sub>2</sub> O-K <sub>2</sub> O)- C(CaO-3.3P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> )- F(FeO+MgO+MnO) |       |
|          | plots of amphibolite (after Fyfe et al., 1958). Superimposed area   |       |
|          | the field at basic rocks after Miyashiro(1973), M, and  |       |
|          | Heier (1962), H.  | 129   |
| Fig.6.5  | Plots of MgO against CaO of the analyzed amphibolite.   |       |
|          | The differentiation trend for continental thoeleiite (CT)   |       |
|          | from Skaergaard (after Wager and Mitchell, 1951,  |       |
|          | taken from Floyd, 1976) is given for comparison.  | 129   |
| Fig.6.6  | SiO <sub>2</sub> versus (Na <sub>2</sub> O+K <sub>2</sub> O) diagram for the analyzed amphibolites.   |       |
|          | Line AB divides the field of alkali basalts and tholeiitic basalts  |       |
|          | (after McDonald and Kastura, 1964) over which Kuno's (1966)   |       |
|          | field of high alumina basalt is suterimposed.   | 129   |
| Fig.6.7  | Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> -(FeO+SiO <sub>2</sub> )-MgO triangular diagram (after Jensen, 1976)   |       |
|          | for the amphibolite. HFT-high iron tholeiitic ; HMT-high  |       |
|          | magnesian tholeiitic ; CB-calc basalt ; TA- tholeiitic andesite;  |       |
|          | CA- calc andesite; TD- tholeiitic dacite; CD- calc dacite;  |       |
|          | TR- tholeiitic rhyolite; CR-calc rhyolite; BK- basalt komatiite;  |       |
|          | PK- picrite komatiite.  | 130   |
| Fig.6.8  | Plots of Niggli mg against c (after Leake, 1964) for the analyzed   |       |
|          | amphibolite. The area inside the continuous and dotted line   |       |
|          | represent the field of igneous and pelitic rocks respectively   | 130   |
| Fig.6.9  | Niggli mg versus si plots of the analysed amphibolites  | 130 ` |
| Fig.6.10 | c - 100mg - (al-alk) triangular plots (after Leake, 1964)   |       |
|          | of the analyzed amphibolites.   | 131   |
| Fig.6.11 | Plots of Niggli c against (al-alk) after Van de Kemp,   |       |
|          | 1968, of the analyzed amphibolites.   | 131   |
| Fig.6.12 | Plots of Niggli mg against alk, k and ti of the analyzed  |       |
|          | amphibolites. 1- pelitic rocks; 2- ortho- amphibolite.  | 131   |

.

| Fig.6.13 | Plots of Niggli c against (al-alk) (after Leake, 1964)                                     |     |
|----------|--|-----|
|          | for the analyzed amphibolites The broken line  |     |
|          | indicating the composition of the Karroo dolerite  |     |
|          | (after Evans and Leake, 1960) is given for comparison                                      | 132 |
| Fig.6.14 | MnOx10 – TiO <sub>2</sub> – $P_2O_5$ +1 tectonic plots (after Mullen, 1983)                |     |
|          | of the analyzed amphibolites. OIT- ocean island tholeiitic;                                |     |
|          | MORB- mid-oceanic ridge basalt; IAT- island arc tholeiitic;                                |     |
|          | CAB- calc alkaline basalt; OIA- ocean island andesite                                      | 132 |
| Fig.6.15 | K₂O- SiO₂ – P₂O₅ triangular plots (after Pearce et al., 1975)                              |     |
|          | for the analyzed amphibolites.   | 132 |
| Fig.6.16 | MgO- FeO – $Al_2O_3$ tectonic plots (after Pearce et al., 1977)                            |     |
|          | for the analyzed amphibolites. 1- spreading centre island;                                 |     |
|          | 2- orogenic ; 3- ocean ridge and floor; 4- ocean island;                                   |     |
|          | 5- continental.  | 133 |
| Fig.6.17 | Perthitic intergrowth of potash feldspar and plagioclase in granite                        | *   |
|          | Locality:- Ksehpongdeng.   | 137 |
| Fig.6.18 | Hypidiomorphic granular texture in granite.  |     |
|          | Locality:- Ksehpongdeng.   | 140 |
| Fig.6.19 | Mymekitic intergrowth of quartz and plagioclase in granite.                                |     |
|          | Locality- Ksehpongdeng   | 140 |
| Fig.6.20 | ACF triangular diagram (after Chappell and White, 1992) for the                            |     |
|          | analyzed granite showing metaluminous chemistry.   | 144 |
| Fig.6.21 | Normative QAP diagram for the analyzed granite. The fields                                 |     |
|          | are after Streckeisen (1976) 1a- quartzites ; 1b- quartz rich                              |     |
|          | granitoids; 2- alkali feldspar granite; 3- granite; 4- granodiorite;                       |     |
|          | 5- tonalite; 6 <sup>•</sup> - quartz alkali feldspar syenite; 7- quartz syenite;           |     |
|          | 8 <sup>•</sup> - quartz monzonite; 9 <sup>•</sup> - quartz monzonite/ quartz monzo gabbro  | ;   |
|          | 10 - quartz diorite/ quartz gabbro/ quartz anorthosite.                                    | 144 |
| Fig.6.22 | Plots of the analyzed granite on normative Ab- An- Or diagram                              |     |
|          | (after O'Connor, 1965)   | 144 |
| Fig.6.23 | Plots of analyzed granite in Na <sub>2</sub> O – CaO – K <sub>2</sub> O triangular diagram | ı   |
|          | (after Ali and Rao, 1980).   | 145 |
| Fig.6.24 | Normative Or – Ab – An triangular diagram ( after Iden, 1981)                              | •   |
|          | for the analyzed granites.   | 145 |

-

.

-

| Fig.6.25 | Binary Na <sub>2</sub> O versus $K_2O$ diagram (after Harpum, 1963) for th                       |           |
|----------|--|-----------|
|          | analyzed granite.  | 145       |
| Fig.6.26 | Plots of the SiO <sub>2</sub> versus Na <sub>2</sub> O + $K_2O$ (after Irvine and Bavag          | ar, 1971) |
|          | of the analyzed granite.   | 146       |
| Fig.6.27 | Plots of granites in $K_2O/Al_2O_3$ versus $Na_2O/Al_2O_3$                                       |           |
|          | (after Garrels and Mckenzie, 1971) diagram.  | 146       |
| Fig.6.28 | K <sub>2</sub> O versus Na <sub>2</sub> O diagram (after Chappell and White, 1992)               |           |
|          | for the analyzed granite.  | 146       |
| Fig.6.29 | K <sub>2</sub> O versus Na <sub>2</sub> O plots (after Hine et al., 1978)                        |           |
|          | for the analyzed granites.   | 147       |
| Fig.6.30 | SiO <sub>2</sub> versus FeO/FeO + MgO plots (after Maniar and Piccoli,                           | 1984)     |
|          | of the analyzed granites.  | 147       |
| Fig.6.31 | Plots of SiO <sub>2</sub> versus Al <sub>2</sub> O <sub>3</sub> (after Maniar and Piccoli, 1984) |           |
|          | of the analyzed granites   | 147       |